

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 \* \* \*

8 KIRK and AMY HENRY,

9  
10 Plaintiffs,

11 vs.

12 FREDRICK RIZZOLO aka  
13 RICK RIZZOLO, an individual,  
14 LISA RIZZOLO, an individual,  
15 THE RICK AND LISA RIZZOLO  
16 FAMILY TRUST,

17 Defendants.

2:08-CV-00635-PMP-GWF

**ORDER**

17 Before the Court is Defendant Kimtran Rizzolo's Motion for a New Trial  
18 or in the Alternative, to Alter or Amend Judgment (Doc. #587), filed on May 18,  
19 2012. Plaintiffs filed an Opposition (Doc. #601) on June 4, 2012. Defendant  
20 Kimtran Rizzolo did not file a reply.

21 On April 19, 2012, this Court granted summary judgment in Plaintiffs'  
22 favor on fraudulent transfer claims against Defendant Kimtran Rizzolo ("Kimtran"),  
23 and entered Judgment in the amount of \$1,052,996.03. (Order (Doc. #583), J. (Doc.  
24 #584).) Kimtran now moves for a new trial or to amend the judgment under Federal  
25 Rule of Civil Procedure 59(a)(2). Kimtran argues she was a good faith transferee  
26 under Nevada Revised Statutes § 112.220(1). Alternatively, Kimtran requests that

1 the Judgment be altered to limit it to the amount she actually received from Bart  
2 Rizzolo less any loans made to Fredrick Rizzolo or any attorney's fees paid on  
3 Fredrick Rizzolo's behalf. Plaintiffs oppose the Motion, arguing it is untimely.

4 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(a)(2) provides that "[a]fter a nonjury  
5 trial, the court may, on motion for a new trial, open the judgment if one has been  
6 entered, take additional testimony, amend findings of fact and conclusions of law or  
7 make new ones, and direct the entry of a new judgment." A party must file a motion  
8 for new trial or a motion to alter or amend judgment under Rule 59 "no later than 28  
9 days after the entry of judgment." Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(b), (e). To determine whether  
10 a Rule 59 motion is timely, the Court excludes the day judgment was entered, counts  
11 every day thereafter including weekends and holidays, and includes the last day of  
12 the period unless the last day is a weekend or legal holiday. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1).  
13 The Court cannot extend time to file a motion for new trial or to alter or amend a  
14 judgment under Rule 59(b) or (e). Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(2). The Court lacks  
15 jurisdiction to consider an untimely filed Rule 59 motion. Tillman v. Ass'n of  
16 Apartment Owners of Ewa Apartments, 234 F.3d 1087, 1089 (9th Cir. 2000).

17 Judgment was entered on April 19, 2012. Any Rule 59 motion therefore  
18 was due May 17, 2012. Kimtran did not file her Motion until May 18, 2012. Her  
19 Motion therefore is untimely, and the Court lacks jurisdiction to consider it.

20 To the extent Kimtran meant to file her Motion under Rule 60(b), she has  
21 not established any mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; newly  
22 discovered evidence; or fraud or misconduct by Plaintiffs to support her request for  
23 relief from the Judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1)-(3). Kimtran also has not shown  
24 grounds for voiding the Judgment or that the Judgment has been satisfied. Fed. R.  
25 Civ. P. 60(b)(4)-(5).

26 ///

1 Finally, Kimtran has not shown the Court should grant her relief from the  
2 Judgment for “any other reason that justifies relief.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6).  
3 Although Kimtran argues Plaintiffs failed to show at summary judgment that she did  
4 not receive the fraudulently transferred funds in good faith, it was Kimtran’s burden  
5 to establish a genuine issue of fact regarding this affirmative defense. Herup v. First  
6 Boston Fin., LLC, 162 P.3d 870, 876 (Nev. 2007) (holding that “to establish a good  
7 faith defense to a fraudulent transfer claim, the transferee must show objectively that  
8 he or she did not know or had no reason to know of the transferor’s fraudulent  
9 purpose to delay, hinder, or defraud the transferor’s creditors.”). Kimtran presented  
10 no evidence and made no argument in response to Plaintiffs’ summary judgment  
11 motion that she was a good faith transferee. Her present Motion likewise lacks any  
12 evidentiary support for her claim that she is a good faith transferee.

13 Further, to the extent Kimtran seeks to amend the Judgment to offset loans  
14 Bart Rizzolo purportedly made to Rick Rizzolo, she cites no legal authority  
15 supporting her position. Similar to her response to Plaintiffs’ summary judgment  
16 motion, Kimtran provides no evidence to establish any such loans were even made,  
17 when any such loans were made, in what amount, and the remaining balance at the  
18 time of the fraudulent transfers. (See Order (Doc. #583) at 10.) The Court therefore  
19 has no evidentiary basis to offset the Judgment even if such an offset were  
20 warranted.

21 However, pursuant to Rule 62.1(a)(3), the Court hereby indicates that it  
22 would clarify the Judgment pursuant to Rule 60(a) were an appeal not presently  
23 pending which precludes the Court from clarifying the Judgment. See Fed. R. Civ.  
24 P. 60(a) (permitting district court to correct mistakes in a judgment unless an appeal  
25 has been docketed and is pending, in which case “such a mistake may be corrected  
26 only with the appellate court’s leave”). Specifically, the Court would clarify that as

1 the recipient of fraudulently transferred funds, Kimtran must disgorge only so much  
2 of the Judgment as she actually received from Bart Rizzolo.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Kimtran Rizzolo's Motion for a New  
4 Trial or in the Alternative, to Alter or Amend Judgment (Doc. #587) is hereby  
5 DENIED.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for  
7 Order to Hold Defendant Kimtran Rizzolo in Civil Contempt (Doc. #603) is set for  
8 Monday, September 10, 2012, at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom 7C, in the United States  
9 District Court, District of Nevada, located at 333 S. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas,  
10 Nevada, 89101.

11  
12 DATED: June 21, 2012

13  
14 

15 PHILIP M. PRO  
16 United States District Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26